

October 12, 2011

**Comments from TEDI Proposed Measures to Improve the Operations of the
Climate Investment Funds**

Dear All,

We thank the Admin Unit for giving us the opportunity to read this, as an observer, we have read through your sent document on the 'proposed measures to improve the operations of CIF.

See attached, our observation and comments. Our observation is noted in Red{TEDI Comment}.

Thanks.

Elder Ogazi Emeka
Executive Director
Transparency and Economic Development Initiatives
TEDI,Registration No:CAC/IT/NO.28512.
Opposite Jonas Oil by Coca-Cola, Abakaliki Express Road Abakaliki Ebonyi
State Nigeria

CLIMATE INVESTMENT FUNDS

CTF-SCF/TFC.7/4
October 4, 2011

Joint Meeting of the CTF and SCF Trust Fund Committees
Washington, D.C.
November 3, 2011

PROPOSED MEASURES TO IMPROVE THE OPERATIONS OF THE CLIMATE INVESTMENT FUNDS

Proposed Decision by the Joint CTF and SCF Trust Fund Committees

The joint meeting of the CTF and SCF Trust Fund Committees reviewed the document, *Proposed Measures to Improve the Operations of the Climate Investment Funds* (document CTF-SCF/TFC.7/4) and ***approves the measures and associated follow-up actions*** to enhance the performance of the CIF proposed in the paper. The CIF Administrative Unit is requested to collaborate with the MDB Committee to ensure that the approved measures and actions are carried out.

The joint meeting ***recommends the approval of the amendments to the CTF and SCF Governance Frameworks and the PPCR Design Document*** proposed in the annex to the document. The joint meeting ***requests*** the CIF Administrative Unit to take, in accordance with the procedures agreed in each Governance Framework, the steps necessary to seek the agreement of all current contributor countries to the respective fund, all recipient countries that have been allocated funding from the fund and the Trustee to amend the Governance Framework.

Furthermore, the joint meeting ***approves the proposed amendments to the Rules of Procedure*** for each the Trust Fund Committee.

BACKGROUND

1. At the joint meeting of the CTF and SCF Trust Fund Committees in June 2011, the joint meeting reviewed document CTF-SCF/TFC.6/3, *Measures to Improve the Operations of the Climate Investment Funds*, together with CTF-SCF/TFC.6/CRP.5 which was submitted by the Committee member from the United Kingdom.

2. The joint meeting agreed that some issues raised in the paper merit further elaboration and discussion, in particular those related to: (a) country ownership, (b) engagement of the private sector, (c) knowledge management, (d) development impacts and results, and (e) transparency. The meeting invited members to provide further written feedback to the CIF Administrative Unit on the papers by the end of July. The meeting requested the CIF Administrative Unit, in consultation with the MDB Committee, to prepare a document for consideration at its next meeting in November 2011 that elaborates upon these ideas and how they could best be implemented. It was further requested that the document be distributed to members by September to allow sufficient time for comments to be submitted by members and posted on the CIF website prior to the November 2011 meeting. The following document has been prepared pursuant to the request of the joint meeting. It takes into account written comments received as well as the views expressed by stakeholders during the 2011 Partnership Forum.

3. Any undertaking to improve CIF's performance should build upon the already agreed principles of the CIF and be structured so as to strengthen and improve its impacts and lesson learning from its operations. The proposals presented in this document should be seen in this light.

4. The joint meeting also recommended that the SCF Governance Framework be amended to align the co-chairing arrangements for the SCF Trust Fund Committee with the co-chairing arrangements for the CTF Trust Fund Committee by providing that the SCF Trust Fund Committee would be chaired by two co-chairs elected from amongst its members, one being a representative of a recipient country and the other being a representative of a contributor country. The joint meeting further recommended that a similar amendment be considered with respect to the provisions concerning the co-chairing of the Partnership Forum in the CTF and the SCF Governance Frameworks. The CIF Administrative Unit was requested to initiate the steps necessary to have such amendments approved in accordance with paragraph 56 of the CTF Governance Framework and paragraph 59 of the SCF Governance Framework. Furthermore, through a decision by mail, the two Trust Fund Committees have agreed that the Partnership Forum should be convened once every eighteen months. Such a change will result in amendments to the Governance Frameworks. Annex I proposes amendments to the Governance Frameworks and Rules of Procedure necessary to address these issues for consideration by the Trust Fund Committees.

MEASURES TO IMPROVE THE OPERATIONS OF THE CIF

A. *Country ownership.*

CIF Principle

5. Strong country leadership should be a hallmark of CIF planning and implementation, building on national plans that set out countries' needs, objectives and priorities, and allowing countries to select their CIF partner and financing modalities of choice.

TEDI Comments: who are CIF partners to be selected by countries?

Measures to enhance performance

6. Investment plans should include provisions for the establishment of, or strengthening of existing, **country coordination units** to facilitate national dialogue on the implementation of the investment plan, to support internal and external coordination, and to manage reporting on progress and results.

7. **Engagement of stakeholders** should be documented and reported on at the time investment plans are submitted for endorsement or programs and projects are submitted for funding. **Investment plans and projects should include clear plans for continuing stakeholder involvement in monitoring and evaluation which would be one of the functions of the country coordination unit.**

8. **Reporting** requirements that will help to measure the extent to which the above goals are being achieved should include:

- a. **Reports of joint missions and proposed investment plans** should include a description and information on the steps that have been taken to achieve country leadership and ownership in the preparation of the investment plan;
- b. **Public sector project proposals** should include a description and information on the country participation and leadership during project preparation;
- c. The CIF Administrative Unit should solicit **feedback from government representatives and bilateral and other development partners working in the country** on CIF activities in the country. Such feedback should be disseminated as lessons learned and shared with the governing bodies.

TEDI Comment: Suggest a-b should be publicly being made open and published in national papers. Civil Society Organizations be involved in these reporting measurements to ascertain the correctness of this information if the investment plans are achieved.

9. Recognizing that under the CIF each MDB is to use its own procurement policies when recruiting consultants, governments and MDBs are urged to contract **local consultants** wherever feasible. Where international consultants are engaged at various stages during the development

of an investment plan or project, terms of reference for working with a country should also consider including a capacity building/knowledge transfer component.

Follow-up actions

10. The CIF Administrative Unit and the MDB Committee should prepare a note on the establishment or strengthening of country coordination units, including the cost implications, for consideration at the **May 2012** joint meeting of the Trust Fund Committees.

11. The CIF Administrative Unit and the MDB Committee should revise the templates for reporting on joint missions and for submission of project and program proposals to include the reporting requirements in paragraph 8 above.

TEDI Comment: Suggest CIF to encourage Government in Pilot countries to include known CSOs working on Climate Change to be part of this process. This is to strengthen openness and transparency in the joint mission activities that the plans investment does not end up in the drawer box of the Executive.

12. The CIF Administrative Unit and the MDB Committee are requested to develop proposals for review by the joint meeting of the Trust Fund Committee in **May 2012** as to how to **further strengthen country-level partnerships among the MDBs**, and to **improve in-country collaboration** amongst stakeholders operating at the country level, including bilateral and UN organizations, civil society organizations, and the private sector.

13. In developing a communication strategy for the CIF (see paragraph 23 below) consideration should be given and steps should be identified as to how feedback on CIF activities at the country level will be solicited and disseminated.

TEDI Comment: highlight the source of feedback where it should come from?

Who are the components sources of this?

Should not the NGO feedback and persons affected by the investment plans not be the source of feedback mechanism?

B. Engagement of the private sector

CIF Principle

14. The private sector should be a key player and partner in the design of CIF programs and their implementation; it is not only a source of financing for leveraging the CIF resources, but also a source for innovation and transformational change of markets.

Measures to enhance performance

15. At the joint meeting of the CTF and SCF Trust Fund Committees in June, the MDB representatives agreed to prepare by October 2011 a joint paper on the lessons learned through the CIF in mobilizing the private sector to address climate change issues. The joint meeting in

November 2011 is invited to consider that paper and recommendations it may contain on ways to strengthen private sector engagement in the CIF.

16. The CIF Administrative Unit should work with the private sector arms of the MDB to ***identify additional tools and modalities*** that can be tested through the CIF to further engage the private sector.

17. Consideration should be given to ***allocating resources under each of the SCF programs to support incentives for projects and programs which are to be developed in collaboration with the private sector arms of the MDBs.*** Incentives could include: (i) a minimum allocation of **non-grant resources** to each pilot country recognizing that such resources, if they flow through the private sector arms of the MDBs, **will not increase the sovereign debt of the country**, and (ii) preference being given to private sector projects in the allocation of a program's reserve.

TEDI Comment: Marked in Red is not clear, Make the non grants resources process clear, is it free or not, are the private sector involved to pay back?.

18. It should be recognized that the ***term “public sector projects” and “private sector projects” have been used imprecisely to describe CIF projects.*** Generally, in the CIF, public sector projects described those projects for which funding flows through the public sector arms of the MDBs while private sector projects are those that flow through the private sector arms of the MDBs. This labeling does not take into account the fact that many “public sector” projects are aimed at improving the enabling or regulatory environment necessary to attract private sector investment or vehicles through which the government can invest in the private sector. Other public sector projects are implemented by the private sector. Such labeling may also not recognize financing from private sector sources that are contributed to public-private partnerships (PPP) which are booked and structured by the public sector arms of the MDBs. It would be useful to explore this issue in more depth to have a more accurate reflection of how CIF funds are contributing to unleashing private sector investment to address climate change.

Follow-up actions

19. The joint meeting in ***November 2011*** should consider the paper and recommendations prepared by the MDBs on ways to strengthen private sector engagement in the CIF and should decide on follow-up actions.

20. The CIF Administrative Unit and the private sector arms of the MDB should prepare a paper ***identifying additional tools and modalities*** that can be tested through the CIF for consideration at the joint meeting of the Committees in ***May 2012***. This paper should also address incentives that may be included in SCF programs to support private sector projects.

21. Part of the communication strategy (see paragraph 23 below) ***should focus on outreach to private sector players with the objective of promoting the CIF instruments***, including the development of a tool kit on best practice for engaging the private sector with the objective of assisting the country and MDB teams to develop a private sector deal flow within their CIF programs.

C. Strengthened Communication

CIF Principle

22. The generation of awareness, knowledge and lessons learned from experience is part of the objectives of the CIF. Communication and sharing of lessons should contribute to the realization of the potential multiplier effect of CIF funded investments on GHG mitigation and reduced climate vulnerability at the national, regional and global levels. Strengthened communication and sharing of lessons should include effective tools for enhancing government participation and strengthening broader stakeholder engagement in the development and implementation of CIF funded operations while support national, regional and global replication of approaches to, and outcomes of, these activities.

Measures to enhance performance

23. The CIF Administrative Unit, working in collaboration with the MDB communication teams, should develop a **comprehensive and strategic communication strategy**, linked to and building on the knowledge management strategy approved for the CIF, to better disseminate the lessons learned from the CIF.

24. Part of the strategy should be to ensure that there are **sufficient, high-quality products** developed aimed at key stakeholders and for key sectors. (See, for example, recommendations concerning the country ownership and engagement of the private sector.) The plan should look at both internal and external audiences, including effective communication within the MDBs on the purpose and objectives of the CIFs as well as effective communication with the wider development community on the lessons learnt on demonstration and replication in the pilot countries.

25. The communication strategy should also propose how to make use of **social networking platforms** for knowledge management.

26. The **CIF website** should be improved to increase accessibility, with products available by theme and readily available project data.

27. CIF lessons should be transmitted to the groups responsible for design and operationalization of the Green Climate Fund.

Follow-up actions

28. A comprehensive communication strategy should be submitted to the joint meeting of the CTF and SCF Trust Fund Committees in **May 2012** for review and approval.

29. Steps should be taken to enhance the CIF website with a goal of launching an improved site by the end of the **first quarter in 2012**.

30. The CIF should further promote country-to-country exchanges and regional level sharing of experiences through the **Global Support Program**, for pilot countries, other interested

countries, and stakeholder groups, including civil society and women's groups, private sector and others.

D. Development impacts

CIF Principle

31. The CIF's underlying purpose is to provide additional financial resources to developing countries in order to help them integrate actions to mitigate and manage the challenges of climate change into their development activities. The CIF are designed as a mechanism to deliver strong development outcomes as well as strong climate outcomes.

Measures to enhance performance

32. Further work to develop **indicators of development or poverty reduction impacts** for each program/project to be funded by the CIF should be undertaken by the CIF Administrative Unit in collaboration with the MDB Committee.

33. The **additionality of the CIF to existing MDB portfolios** (measured by the increased percentage of climate-oriented lending as a proportion of overall energy lending) should be reported to the CIF governing bodies.

Follow-up actions

34. The CIF Administrative Unit should work with the MDB Committee to prepare indicators of development or poverty reduction impacts in the CIF funded activities, and such indicators should be included in proposals submitted for CIF funding **beginning in FY13**. Pending development of agreed indicators, each MDB should **immediately ensure** that program/project proposals submitted to the CIF governing bodies integrate and quantify or qualitatively describe the development impacts of the proposal to be funded by the CIF.

35. The MDBs are requested to submit information to the joint meeting of the Trust Fund Committees in **May 2012** on the additionality of the CIF to existing MDB portfolios.

E. Results

CIF Principle

36. Managing for results is a key element for assessing the success of the CIFs in the future. Monitoring and evaluation and dissemination of lessons will be critical for optimizing impacts.

Measures to enhance performance

37. While results frameworks have been developed, early feedback from countries and MDB teams is that the results frameworks could usefully be simplified if they are to be efficiently and effectively applied.

38. CIF Administrative Unit should develop a ***web-based platform for accessing and sharing*** of (i) ***tools, methods, and methodologies to report on the different indicators*** of the four results frameworks of the CIF (the M&E sourcebook); and (ii) ***tools, methods, and methodologies used by pilot countries during the preparation and implementation of their investment plans and projects***. The objective of the platform should be to enable users to download and share methods, tools, and methodologies.

Follow-up actions

39. ***Simplified results frameworks*** should be prepared for consideration by the governing bodies in **2012**, taking into account feedback from countries and the MDB country teams. Three to five core indicators should be agreed for each program.

40. ***Clear tools and guidelines*** as to how the CIF results frameworks cascade to the country investment plan and project level should be available as early as possible, and ***no later than the end of calendar year 2011***.

41. A first version of the web-based monitoring and evaluation platform should be available on the CIF website ***by the end of calendar year 2011*** for testing and with the expectation that the platform would be updated continuously.

F. Good Governance and Transparency

CIF Principle

42. The CIF are to be supported by a broad-based and inclusive governance structure. Good linkages between the CIF and key partners are promoted through sound and transparent decision-making.

Measures to enhance performance

43. Agreement should be sought to amend the rules of procedure for the CTF to eliminate closed executive sessions of the Trust Fund Committee unless determined by the Co-Chairs without the objection of any Committee member. This would align the CTF Trust Fund Committee rules with those for the SCF (see Annex II for proposed amendments).

44. Membership of the joint meetings of the CTF and the SCF Trust Fund Committee should seek to maintain the principle of an equal number of seats for contributor countries and recipient countries. Currently, when joint meetings are held, the representation from contributor countries is less than that from recipient countries, since some contributor countries have a seat on both the CTF and SCF Committees. It is proposed that ***16 seats be provided at the joint meeting for both groups of countries so as to enable recipient or contributor countries that are not represented on either of the two committees to participate in the joint meeting's strategic discussions on the CIF***. If there are unfilled seats at the joint meeting for a group but more than 16 countries in the group, the group should agree upon how to fill the additional seats at the joint meetings.

45. The CIF Administrative Unit should seek to ensure that the CIF are in compliance with the International Aid Transparency Initiative.

Follow-up actions

46. The joint meeting of the CTF and SCF Trust Fund Committees is invited to recommend for approval the amendments to the Governance Frameworks and to approve the amendments to the Rules of Procedure set forth in the annex to this paper.

47. The CIF Administrative Unit should take steps to ensure that at the joint meetings of the CTF and SCF Trust Fund Committees there is equal representation by recipient country members and contributor country members.

48. *Comments* of Committee and Sub-Committee members on proposed investment plans, programs and projects ***should routinely be made publicly available on the CIF website***

49. The CIF Administrative Unit should explore whether the CIF are currently in compliance with the International Aid Transparency Initiative and should report back to the Trust Fund Committees at their joint meeting in ***May 2012***.. In reporting back, the CIF Administrative Unit should inform the Committees of any additional policies that may be necessary to ensure compliance.

G. Operations

CIF Principle

50. The CIF will utilize the skills and capabilities of the MDBs to raise and deliver concessional climate financing at a significant scale to unleash the potential of the public and private sectors to achieved meaningful reductions of carbon emissions and greater climate resilience.

Measures to enhance performance

51. The countries and the MDBs should be encouraged to make ***broader use of instruments*** available through the MDBs, such as ***development policy loans, country trust funds, results based financing to channel CIF funds***.

52. Ensuring ***funding is delivered expeditiously at the project level*** is important to ensure that the CIF demonstrate success and provide useful models for climate financing, including the Green Climate Fund, recognizing that there is a trade-off between quick disbursement and the potential for the CIF to innovate and pioneer transformation and innovative financing, in consultation with diverse stakeholders.

Follow-up actions

53. The MDBs are invited to further explore innovative and streamlined financing instruments to advance the objectives of the CIF, and to report back to the joint meeting of the

Trust Fund Committees in *May 2012*. At least one example of each of the above instruments should be included in the CIF portfolio.

54. Consideration should be given to setting a ***target for submitting project proposals for approval of CIF funding after endorsement of an investment plan and for beginning disbursement after MDB approval*** of CIF-funded projects. The CIF Administrative Unit and the MDBs are requested to prepare a proposal concerning the establishment of targets for consideration by the Trust Fund Committees at their joint meeting in *May 2012*. The proposal should take into account experience of the MDBs in developing public and private sector programs and projects, CIF experience to date, and the trade-offs between speed, complexity, innovation and risks.

55. Monitoring and reporting on this target, including reporting on reasons for any delays, should be achieved through ***transparent reporting on the pipeline and its management***.

H. Gender

CIF Principle

56. The CIF should demonstrate strong leadership in mainstreaming gender considerations

Measures to enhance performance

57. The countries and the MDBs are requested to ***mainstream gender considerations with (i) clients, (ii) projects, and (iii) at the country level.***

58. There is urgent need for more disaggregated data (both at the input and results side) and ***more indicators should be developed and deployed on gender.***

Follow-up actions

59. ***Gender experts should be included in all missions and in project preparation*** to ensure gender considerations are sufficiently incorporated. Gender experts should be involved at all stages, but particularly during the design stage of projects.

60. ***At least one indicator*** disaggregated by gender should be included in each project.

61. A ***gender impact assessment review of the CIF should be undertaken***, building on the Strategic Environment, Social and Gender Assessment of the CIF prepared in 2010, and submitted to the CIF governing bodies in *May 2012*. Such an assessment should identify where further progress is needed, including whether capacity should be built in the Administrative Unit and countries on gender.

I. Partnership on a global level.

CIF Principle

62. The CIF is a global partnership established to contribute to an efficient response to the different challenges climate change poses to development.

Measure to enhance performance

63. It is proposed that the CIF seek to open a dialogue between the UNFCCC and the CIF by ***voluntarily reporting on its programs to the UNFCCC.***

Follow-up action

64. The CIF Administrative Unit should engage with the UNFCCC Secretariat to explore how the CIF may most effectively report to the UNFCCC on its programs.

**ANNEX: DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO CTF SCF GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORKS AND RULES OF
PROCEDURE AND PPCR DESIGN DOCUMENT**

I. SUMMARY OF PROPOSED CHANGES

1. The Governance Framework Documents for the CTF and SCF each provide that the Trust Fund Committee of the respective fund may recommend amendments to any terms of the Governance Framework, which will become effective with the agreement of all current recipient countries that have been allocated funding from the fund, all current contributor countries to the fund and the Trustee.

2. Based on discussions and decisions at the CIF meetings in June 2011, the inter-sessional decision of the CTF and the SCF Trust Fund Committees to convene the Partnership Forum every 18 months, and the proposals in the current paper, the following amendments are proposed to the CTF and SCF Governance Framework Documents, the Rules of Procedure for the CTF and SCF and PPCR Design Document:

- a) Amend the SCF Governance Framework Document to provide that the SCF Trust Fund Committee will elect two co-chairs from among its members (namely, one from the recipient country member and one from the contributor country member).
- b) Amend the CTF and SCF Governance Framework Documents as well as the Rules of Procedure for CTF and SCF, respectively, and PPCR Design Document to provide that the term of co-chairs for the CTF Trust Fund Committee, the SCF Trust Fund Committee and the SCF Sub-Committees will be 18 months.
- c) Amend the CTF and SCF Rules of Procedures to eliminate closed executive sessions of the Trust Fund Committees unless specifically requested by a Committee Member or determined by the Co-Chairs without the objection of any Committee member.
- d) Amend the CTF and SCF Governance Framework Documents as well as the Rules of Procedure for CTF and SCF, respectively, to provide that: (a) the Partnership Forum is to be held every 18 months, and (b) the Partnership Forum will elect two co-chairs: one from a recipient country and one from a contributor country. The co-chairs will be selected from amongst the country representatives participating in the Partnership Forum.
- e) As a result of sub-paragraph (d) above, amend the CTF and SCF Governance Framework Documents as well as the Rules of Procedure for CTF and SCF, respectively, and PPCR Design Document to provide that: (a) the term for Members for the CTF Trust Fund Committee and SCF Trust Fund Committee will be [3 years] [or 18 months]; and (b) the term of the Sub-Committee Members for all the SCF Programs will be 18 months..
- f) Amend the PPCR Design Document to be consistent with the sunset clause under the SCF Governance Framework Document.

3. The CTF and the SCF Trust Fund Committees are invited to review the proposed amendments (the specific text of which is provided below) with a view to recommending the

amendments for approval in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Governance Framework Documents.

II. ELECTION AND TERMS OF CO-CHAIRS FOR CIF COMMITTEES

SCF Governance Framework Document

4. Currently, Paragraph 21 of the SCF Governance Framework Document reads: "The SCF Trust Fund Committee will have two co-chairs. One co-chair will be elected from among the Members representing countries, under paragraph 14(a) or (b) above, to serve for a *six-month term, alternating from one term to another between recipient and contributor representative Members. The other co-chair will be the World Bank Vice President for the Sustainable Development Network.*"

5. It is proposed that Paragraph 21 be amended as follows: "***The SCF Trust Fund Committee will elect two co-chairs from among its Members to serve for an eighteen month term. One co-chair will be a representative of an eligible recipient country and the other co-chair will be a representative of a contributor country. The co-chairs will be elected at the Trust Fund Committee meeting convened six months following the Partnership Forum, recognizing that members of the Trust Fund Committee are to be selected at the Partnership Forum.***"

6. Furthermore, Paragraph 29 of the SCF Governance Framework Document reads: "Each SCF Sub-Committee will elect its own co-chairs for a six-month term. One co-chair will be a representative of a contributor country, and the other co-chair will be a representative of a recipient country."

7. It is proposed for Paragraph 29 to be amended as follows: "***Each SCF Sub-Committee will elect its own co-chairs for an eighteen month term. One co-chair will be a representative of an eligible recipient country, and the other co-chair will be a representative of a contributor country.***"

SCF Rules of Procedure for Trust Fund Committee Meetings:

8. Currently, Paragraph 25 of the SCF Rules of Procedure reads: "Pursuant to paragraph 21 of the Governance Framework Document, the SCF Trust Fund Committee shall, prior to the closing of the last regular meeting of the six-month term, elect a representative from a contributor country or a recipient country from among its Members to act as a Co-Chair for the duration of the next term."

9. It is proposed that Paragraph 25 to be amended as follows: "***Pursuant to paragraph 21 of the SCF Governance Framework Document, the SCF Trust Fund Committee shall, at the meeting of the Trust Fund Committee convened six months following the Partnership Forum, elect (i) a representative from an eligible recipient country, and (ii) a representative from a contributor country, from among its Members to act as the Co-Chairs for the duration of the next term.***"

PPCR Design Document:

10. Currently, Paragraph 9 of the PPCR Design Document reads: "*The PPCR Sub-Committee will elect its own co-chairs from among the PPCR Members for a six month term; provided that one co-chair will be a representative of a contributor country, and the other co-chair will be a representative of a recipient country.*"

11. However, it is proposed that **Paragraph 9 be deleted** as this issue is already addressed in the amendment to Paragraph 29 of the SCF Governance Framework Document.

CTF Governance Framework Document:

12. Currently, Paragraph 26 of the CTF Governance Framework Document reads: "*The CTF Trust Fund Committee will elect two co-chairs among its Members to serve for a six-month term. One co-chair will be a representative of a recipient country and the other co-chair will be a representative of a contributor country.*"

13. It is proposed that Paragraph 26 be amended as follows: "***The CTF Trust Fund Committee will elect two co-chairs among its Members to serve for an eighteen month term. One co-chair will be a representative of an eligible recipient country and the other co-chair will be a representative of a contributor country. The co-chairs will be elected at the Trust Fund Committee meeting convened six months following the Partnership Forum, recognizing that members of the Trust Fund Committee are to be selected at the Partnership Forum.***"

CTF Rules of Procedures:

14. Currently, Paragraph 24 of the CTF Rules of Procedure reads: "*Pursuant to paragraph 26 of the Governance Framework Document, the CTF Trust Fund Committee shall, prior to the closing of the last regular Meeting of each six-month term, elect (i) a representative from a contributor country, and (ii) a representative from a recipient country, from among its Members to act as the Co-Chairs for the duration of the next term.*"

15. It is proposed that Paragraph 24 be amended as follows: "***Pursuant to paragraph 26 of the Governance Framework Document, the CTF Trust Fund Committee shall, at the meeting of the Trust Fund Committee convened six months following the Partnership Forum, elect (i) a representative from an eligible recipient country, and (ii) a representative from a contributor country, from among its Members to act as the Co-Chairs for the duration of the next term.***"

III. EXECUTIVE SESSIONS

SCF Rules of Procedure:

16. Currently, Paragraph 13 of the SCF Rules of Procedure read: "*Any portion of the Meeting involving funding for administrative budgets shall be conducted as an Executive Session. Further, the Co-Chairs may, unless any Decision Making Member present at the Meeting objects, determine any other portion of the Meeting to be conducted as an Executive Session.*"

Any Executive Session shall be open to Members, advisers to the Members and any person referred to in paragraph 14 below; provided that the Co-Chairs may invite any other person to observe the Executive Session in matters involving strategic discussion or of direct concern.”

17. It is proposed that Paragraph 13 be amended as follows: **“The Co-Chairs may, unless any Decision Making Member present at the Meeting objects, determine any portion of the Meeting to be conducted as an Executive Session. Any Executive Session shall be open to Members, advisers to the Members and any person referred to in paragraph 14 below; provided that the Co-Chairs may invite any other person to observe the Executive Session in matters involving strategic discussion or of direct concern.”**

CTF Rules of Procedure:

18. Currently, Paragraph 13 of the SCF Rules of Procedure read: *“Any portion of the Meeting involving investment plans, financial terms or funding decision for projects, programs, fees or administrative budgets shall be conducted as an Executive Session. Further, the Co-Chairs may, unless any Decision Making Member present at the Meeting objects, determine any other portion of the Meeting to be conducted as an Executive Session. Any Executive Session shall be open to Members, advisers to the Members and any person referred to in paragraph 14 below; provided that the Co-Chairs may invite any other person to observe the Executive Session in matters involving strategic discussion or of direct concern.”*

19. It is proposed that Paragraph 13 be amended as follows: **“The Co-Chairs may, unless any Decision Making Member present at the Meeting objects, determine any portion of the Meeting to be conducted as an Executive Session. Any Executive Session shall be open to Members, advisers to the Members and any person referred to in paragraph 14 below; provided that the Co-Chairs may invite any other person to observe the Executive Session in matters involving strategic discussion or of direct concern.”**

IV. PARTNERSHIP FORUM

SCF Governance Framework Document:

20. Currently, Paragraph 32 of the SCF Governance Framework Document reads: *“The Partnership Forum is a broad-based meeting of stakeholders of the CIF, including contributor and eligible recipient countries, MDBs, UN organizations, GEF, UNFCCC, the Adaptation Fund, bilateral development agencies, non-governmental organizations, private sector entities, and scientific and technical experts. The Partnership Forum will be convened annually to provide a forum for dialogue on the strategic directions, results and impacts of the CIF. The Partnership Forum will be co-chaired by the World Bank Vice President for the Sustainable Development Network and a country representative elected by countries participating in the Partnership Forum. The Partnership Forum will serve as a primary venue to identify representatives from contributor and recipient countries to serve at the SCF Trust Fund Committee pursuant to paragraphs 14(a) and (b) above.”*

21. It is proposed that Paragraph 32 be amended as follows: ***“The Partnership Forum is a broad-based meeting of stakeholders of the CIF, including eligible recipient and contributor countries, MDBs, UN organizations, GEF, UNFCCC, the Adaptation Fund, bilateral development agencies, civil society organizations, private sector entities, and scientific and technical experts. The Partnership Forum will be convened every eighteen months to provide a forum for dialogue on the strategic directions, results and impacts of the CIF. The Partnership Forum will be co-chaired by a representative from an eligible recipient country and a representative from a contributor country elected from among government representatives participating in the Partnership Forum. The Partnership Forum will serve as a primary venue to identify representatives from eligible recipient and contributor countries to serve as members of the SCF Trust Fund Committee and Sub-Committees pursuant to paragraphs 14(a) and (b) and paragraphs 26(a) and (b) above.”***

CTF Governance Framework Document:

22. Currently, Paragraph 31 of the CTF Governance Framework Document reads: ***“The Partnership Forum is a broad-based meeting of stakeholders of the CIF, including contributor and eligible recipient countries, MDBs, UN organizations, GEF, UNFCCC, the Adaptation Fund, bilateral development agencies, Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), private sector entities, and scientific and technical experts. The Partnership Forum will be convened annually to provide a forum for dialogue on the strategic directions, results and impacts of the CIF. The Partnership Forum will be co-chaired by the World Bank Vice President for the Sustainable Development Network and a country representative elected by countries participating in the Partnership Forum. The Partnership Forum will serve as a primary venue to identify representatives from contributor and recipient countries to serve at the CTF Trust Fund Committee pursuant to paragraphs 19(a) and (b) above.”***

23. It is proposed that Paragraph 31 be amended as follows: ***“The Partnership Forum is a broad-based meeting of stakeholders of the CIF, including eligible recipient and contributor countries, MDBs, UN organizations, GEF, UNFCCC, the Adaptation Fund, bilateral development agencies, civil society organizations, private sector entities, and scientific and technical experts. The Partnership Forum will be convened every eighteen months to provide a forum for dialogue on the strategic directions, results and impacts of the CIF. The Partnership Forum will be co-chaired by a representative from an eligible recipient country and a representative from a contributor country elected from among government representatives participating in the Partnership Forum. The Partnership Forum will serve as a primary venue to identify representatives from eligible recipient and contributor countries to serve as members of the CTF Trust Fund Committee pursuant to paragraphs 19(a) and (b) above.”***

V. TERMS OF MEMBERS FOR THE TRUST FUND COMMITTEES AND SUB-COMMITTEES

SCF Governance Framework Document:

24. Paragraph 16 of the SCF Governance Framework Document reads: ***“Members referred to in paragraph 14 (a) and (b) above will serve for a two year term, except that they will serve for a***

one year term for the first year of the SCF operations. Retiring Members may be reappointed. Not more than one Member will be a representative of the same country at any given time."

25. It is proposed that Paragraph 16 be amended as follows: "***Members referred to in paragraph 14 (a) and (b) above will serve for a [three year] [eighteen-month] term, except that they will serve for a one year term for the first year of the SCF operations. Retiring Members may be reappointed. Not more than one Member will be a representative of the same country at any given time."***

26. Currently, Paragraph 27 of the SCF Governance Framework Document reads: "*Unless otherwise determined by the SCF Trust Fund Committee under paragraph 20(c), members of each SCF Sub-Committee will serve for one year terms. Retiring members may be reappointed. Not more than one Member will be a representative of the same country at any given time."*

27. It is proposed that Paragraph 27 be amended as follows: "***Unless otherwise determined by the SCF Trust Fund Committee under paragraph 20(c), members of each SCF Sub-Committee will serve for eighteen-month terms. Retiring members may be reappointed. Not more than one Member will be a representative of the same country at any given time"***

SCF Rules of Procedures:

28. Currently, Paragraph 9 of the SCF Rules of Procedure reads: "*For the purpose of identifying a term of any Member under paragraph 16 of the SCF Governance Framework Document, a year term shall run from the date immediately after the last day of a Partnership Forum meeting, until the last day of the next Partnership Forum, except that for the first year of the SCF operations, the year term shall run from the first day of the first SCF Trust Fund Committee until the last day of the next Partnership Forum meeting."*

29. It is proposed that Paragraph 9 to be amended as follows: "***For the purpose of identifying a term of any Member under paragraph 16 of the SCF Governance Framework Document, a [three year] [eighteen-months] term shall run from the first day of the Trust Fund Committee meeting convened six months following a Partnership Forum until the day before the first day of the Trust Fund Committee meeting convened six months following [the Partnership Forum convened three years later][the next Partnership Forum], recognizing that members of the Trust Fund Committee are to be selected at the Partnership Forum[s]."***

PPCR Design Document:

30. Currently, Paragraph 7 of the PPCR Design Document reads: "*The PPCR Members will serve for one year terms. Retiring members may be reappointed. Not more than one PPCR Member shall be a representative of the same country at any given time."*

31. It is proposed that ***Paragraph 7 be deleted*** as this issue is addressed in Paragraph 27 of the SCF Governance Framework Document.

CTF Governance Framework Document:

32. Currently, Paragraph 21 of the CTF Governance Framework Document reads: "*Members referred to in paragraph 19 (a) and (b) above will serve for a two year term, except that such representatives may serve for a one year term for the first year of the CTF operations if so determined by the countries of the group that has identified them, and terms will be staggered so that not all Members are replaced each year. Retiring Members may be reappointed. Not more than one Member will be a representative of the same country at any given time.*"

33. It is proposed that Paragraph 21 be amended as follows: "*Members referred to in paragraph 19 (a) and (b) above will serve for a [three year] [eighteen-months] term, except that such representatives may serve for a one year term for the first year of the CTF operations if so determined by the countries of the group that has identified them, and terms will be staggered so that not all Members are replaced each year. Retiring Members may be reappointed. Not more than one Member will be a representative of the same country at any given time.*"

CTF Rules of Procedures:

34. Currently, Paragraph 9 of the CTF Rules of Procedure reads: "*For the purpose of identifying a term of any Member under paragraph 21 of the CTF Governance Framework Document, a year term shall run from the date immediately after the last day of a Partnership Forum meeting, until the last day of the next Partnership Forum, except that for the first year of the CTF operations, the year term shall run from the first day of the first CTF Trust Fund Committee until the last day of the next Partnership Forum meeting.*"

35. It is proposed that Paragraph 9 to be amended as follows: "*For the purpose of identifying a term of any Member under paragraph 21 of the CTF Governance Framework Document, a [three year] [eighteen-months] term shall run from the first day of the Trust Fund Committee meeting convened six months following a Partnership Forum until the day before the first day of the Trust Fund Committee meeting convened six months following [the Partnership Forum convened three years later][the next Partnership Forum], recognizing that members of the Trust Fund Committee are to be selected at the Partnership Forum[s].*"

PPCR Sunset Clause

PPCR Design Document:

36. Paragraph 23 of the PPCR Design Documents reads: "*Pursuant to paragraph 49¹ of the SCF Governance Framework Document, the MDB may submit to the PPCR Sub-Committee for approval proposals for PPCR funding prepared pursuant to paragraph 22 above. The PPCR Sub-Committee may, in accordance with paragraph 49 of the SCF Governance Framework Document, approve allocation of PPCR resources for programs and other activities and costs based on the proposals submitted; provided that the PPCR Sub-Committee may not approve, after the end of calendar year 2012, any new financing under the PPCR for program activities.*"

¹ Paragraph 49 of the SCF Governance Framework Document spells out the allocation of resources for the SCF Program activities.

37. As the last phrase is not consistent with the Sunset Clause under SCF Governance Framework Document, it is proposed to **delete the phrase “; provided that the PPCR Sub-Committee may not approve, after the end of calendar year 2012, any new financing under the PPCR for program activities” at the end of Paragraph 23 of the PPCR Design Documents.**”

Name of TF/Program	Name of Document	Paragraph Number	Proposed Changes	
SCF	GFD	Para.16	Terms of Members for the SCF TF Committee (TFC): [3 years] [18 months]	
		Para. 21	Election and Terms of Co-Chairs for SCF TFC: One co-chair from an eligible recipient country and the other from a contributor country for 18 month term.	
		Para. 27	Term of SCF Sub-Committee (S-C) Members: 18 months	
		Para. 29	Election and Terms of Co-Chairs for SCF S-C: One co-chair from an eligible recipient country and the other from a contributor country for 18 month term to be elected following Partnership Forum.	
		Para. 32	Partnership Forum: Held in every 18 months and elect one co-chair from an eligible recipient country and the other from a contributor country.	
		RP	Para. 9	Terms of Members for the SCF TF Committee (TFC): [3 years] [18 months]
		Para. 13	Eliminate closed executive sessions of TFC unless determined by the Co-Chairs without the objection of any Committee member.	
		Para. 25	Election of Co-Chairs for SCF TFC: One co-chair from an eligible recipient country and the other from a contributor country to be elected following Partnership Forum.	
		Para. 21	Terms of Members for the CTF TF Committee (TFC): [3 years] [18 months]	
CTF	GFD	Para. 26	Election and Terms of Co-Chairs for CTF TFC: One co-chair from an eligible recipient country and the other from a contributor country for 18 month term.	
		Para. 31	Partnership Forum: Held in every 18 months and elect one co-chair from an eligible recipient country and the other from a contributor country 6 months following Partnership Forum.	
		RP	Para. 9	Terms of Members for the CTF TF Committee (TFC): [3 years] [18 months]
		Para. 13	Eliminate closed executive sessions of TFC unless determined by the Co-Chairs without the objection of any Committee member.	
		Para. 24	Election of Co-Chairs for CTF TFC: One co-chair from an eligible recipient country and the other from a contributor country to be elected following Partnership Forum.	
PPCR	DD	Para. 7	Term of PPCR S-C Members: In line with Para. 27 of SCF GFD.	
		Para. 9	Election and Term of PPCR S-C: In line with Para. 29 of SCF GFD	
		Para. 23	Sunset Clause: In line with Paras. 56-58 of SCF GFD.	

GFD – Governance Framework Document; RP – Rules of Procedures; DD – Design Document.