COLOMBIA INVESTMENT PLAN REVISION - MAY2013





Libertod y Orden República de Colombia





Original Investment Plan

Circumstances and Rationale for IP Revision

Proposed Changes

Original Investment Plan

Circumstances and Rationale for IP Revision

Proposed Changes

This **note revises** the Clean Technology Fund (CTF) **Investment Plan** (IP) for Colombia, **endorsed** by the **Trust Fund Committee** (TFC) of the CTF in the meeting held in **March 15 – 16, 2010**, with an envelope of up to US\$150 million in CTF funding.

The areas of intervention remain unchanged.

The **non-conventional renewable energy sector** was presented in the original CTF IP as a priority sector for a possible second phase of the IP.

The GoC's intention is to commit the US\$150 million CTF total funding by Q3-2014.

The impact of the revised programs on CTF objectives is comparable to the one envisioned in the original CTF Plan.

Original Investment Plan

Circumstances and Rationale for IP Revision

Proposed Changes

The original IP, was proposed as a two-phase process.

The first phase addresses the implementation of **abatement measures** in two key sectors: **urban transport and energy efficiency**.

As a **possible second phase** it was proposed that, "as the Government took further steps toward creating an enabling environment for **renewable energy**, **there would be opportunities for investing in this sector** as part of a possible second phase of the IP, which could include as well further programs on energy efficiency and transport".

Original Investment Plan (US\$ millions)

| Financing Source | Sustainable transport systems (annex 1) | Energy efficiency (annex 2) | TOTAL |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------|
| CTF executed by IDB | 60.0 | 32.5 | 92.5 |
| CTF executed by IBRD | 40.0 | | 40.0 |
| CTF executed by IFC | | 17.5 | 17.5 |
| CTF total | 100.0 | 50.0 | 150.0 |
| IDB loans | 400.0 | 130.0 | 530.0 |
| IBRD loans | 100.0 | | 100.0 |
| IFC loans | | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| IDB grants | 5.8 | | 5.8 |
| IBRD grants | | | |
| KfW | | 70.0 | 70.0 |
| Carbon finance | 30.0 | | 30.0 |
| Other | | | |
| GoC | 340.0 | 40.0 | 380.0 |
| Bogotá DC | 150.0 | | 150.0 |
| Municipalities | 240.0 | | 240.0 |
| Private sector | 960.0 | 290.0 | 1060.0 |
| TOTAL | 2325.8 | 670.0 | 2995.8 |

The commitment of CTF funding under the CTF Investment Plan for Colombia has been slower than anticipated because of the following main reasons:

1. Required minimum of leveraged loan funds are too high for Colombia.

This has become a **strong barrier for initiating with more speed implementation**, due to the difficulty to include the resulting high amounts in the national budget programmed accordingly Colombia's medium term fiscal framework.

The **GoC considers important** the CTF's on-going discussion related to bringing down this required minimum and in this direction **proposes** in the revised IP a **different leveraged loan funds strategy viable** in the current medium term fiscal framework.

2. Current markets conditions in Colombia limit project implementation as expected in the original IP:

The evolution of developed economies and the resulting effect on liquidity and international interest rates, increases or diminishes long term demand for dollars by financial intermediaries. The associated financial market conditions in Colombia have limited the level of effective incentive that results from CTF concessional conditions.

For this reason innovative complementary instruments had to be developed to create and effective project implementation conditions. This innovations, mainly designed under the energy efficiency Hotels and Clinics project, have made viable Hybrid Buses Project and is expected a similar impact on the remaining energy efficiency projects.

- Finally, as of April 2013, the Trust Fund Committee has committed US\$37.7625 million out of US\$150 million originally endorsed for Colombia (equivalent to 25% of the total endorsement).
- The following tables show the status of project approval and financing allocation of the original CTF IP endorsed in March 2010.

Financing Allocation and Status of Project Approvals (CTF Plan - Endorsed March 15, 2010)

| CTF Program / Project Title | MDB | TFC Approval Date | MDB Board Approval Date | Effectiveness Date/ Contract Date | First Disburse- ment Date | Original CTF Funding (US\$ million) | Leveraged Funding (US\$ million) ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Program 1: Colombia Sustainable Urban Transport | | | | | | 100 | 2,225.8 |
| 1.1 Bogotá's SITP (Hybrid Buses) | IDB | Q2-2013* | Q3-2013* | Q3-2013* | Q4-2013* | 40 | |
| 1.2 Bogotá's SITP (and other major cities): Prep Grant | IBRD | Q2-2013* | | | | | |
| 1.2 Bogotá's SITP (and other major cities): Loan | IBRD | Q3-2014* | Q4-2014* | Q1-2015* | Q2-2015* | 40 | |
| 1.3 SETPs | IDB | Q3-2011 | Q3-2011 | Q2-2013* | Q1-2014* | 20 | |

(1) The leveraged fund indicated are from the original CTF IP.

* Planned

Financing Allocation and Status of Project Approvals (CTF Plan - Endorsed March 15, 2010)

| CTF Program / Project Title | MDB | TFC Approval Date | MDB Board Approval Date | Effectiveness Date/ Contract Date | First Disburse- ment Date | Original CTF Funding (US\$ million) | Leveraged Funding (US\$ million) |
|---|-----|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|---|
| Program 2: Energy Efficiency Program | | | | | | 50 | 620 |
| 2.1 EE in the residential sector | IDB | Q3-2013* | Q4-2013* | Q4-2013* | Q4-2013* | 10 | |
| 2.2 EE in the Services Sector: Prep Grant | IDB | Q4-2012 | | | | 0.2625 | |
| 2.2 EE in the Services Sector: Program | IDB | Q2-2013* | Q2-2013* | Q3-2013* | Q1-2014* | 10.7375 | |
| 2.3 Development of an ESCO market in Colombia | IDB | Q4-2013* | Q1-2014* | Q2-2014* | Q2-2014* | 5.39 | |
| 2.4 C-SEF: Loan 2 | IDB | | Q3-2013* | Q1-2014* | Q1-2014* | 5 | |
| 2.4 C-SEF: IDB Technical Cooperation and fees | IDB | | | | | 1.11 | |
| 2.4 C-SEF: Bancolombia loan | IFC | Q4-2010 | Q2-2011 | Q3-2011 | Q3-2011 | 5.4 | |
| 2.4 C-SEF: Loan 3 | IFC | | | | | 4.6 | |
| 2.4 C-SEF: IFC Technical Cooperation and fees | IFC | | Q1-2011 | Q1-2011 | Q1-2011 | 1.39 | |
| 2.5 EE private sector project | IFC | | | | | 6.11 | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | 150 | 2,879.8 |

* Planned

Original Investment Plan

Circumstances and Rationale for IP Revision

Proposed Changes

The overall rationale for CTF intervention remains unchanged. The revisions to the IP reflect adjustments, circumstances and the evolution of relevant national policies and priorities, especially through the National Development Plan (PND) 2010 – 2014, adopted by law 1450 of 2011, as follows:

- **1.** On-going design of the National Low Carbon Development Strategy (ECDBC)
 - This GoC's commitment, established in the (PND) 2010 2014, will deepen GHG's sectorial mitigation commitment.
 - Guidelines for the design are established in a National Policy that contains an Institutional Strategy for the Coordination of Climate Change Policies and Actions, adopted in July 14 2011 (CONPES 3700).

2. New environment for a Non-Conventional Renewable Energy Sources Program (NCREP) is a strong window of opportunity.

- The PND 2010 2014 gives priority to the definition of incentives for investments in generation capacity through "alternative energy sources", and for the first time, as a source for the national interconnected system (SIN).
- On-going **profound institutional reform** energy sector (MME, UPME and CREG)
- One of the most significant steps toward the implementation of the PND 2010 2014 is the 148th resolution issued in October 21, 2011, by the (CREG) establishing a methodology to determine the "energy firmness" of wind power plants.
- Colombia's predominant source of electricity power production comes from hydropower, a system vulnerable to hydrological risks, especially because of droughts during El Niño periods. Last el Niño a shortage in gas availability for thermal generation occurred.

Proposed changes: Bring in the I Phase the NCREP as a third priority sector formerly proposed as a possible II Phase priority sector in the original IP.

3. Sustainable Urban Transport Program (SUTP)

- The PND 2010 2014 gives priority to continue working in the preparation of the Sustainable Urban Transport program as part of the CTF IP for Colombia and promoting CTF concessional funding objectives.
- The PND 2010 2014 gives priority to extend SITM's results related to social, environmental and economic benefits to traditional collective public transport and other modes through the new SITP mechanism in municipalities and metropolitan areas exceeding 600.000 inhabitants.
- As a result of the new development plan for Bogota (2012) and recently approved PPP law (law 1508/2012), previously identified IBRD/SITP project was discussed and reoriented to developed an 100% private funding tramway on a main street.
- No CTF financial resources required for this Bogotá's IBRD/SITP project.

3. Sustainable Urban Transport Program (SUTP)

Proposed changes: Initiate project preparation of the US\$40 million IBRD CTF Bogotá's SITP modified as to enable other, one or two selected large cities (more than 600,000 inhabitants), to utilize these CTF resources.

The reorientation of the above mentioned IBRD/CTF project will **accelerate low-carbon decisions** for the selected **SITPs** and **strengthen influence** over the **other cities**, as well as accelerating project implementation.

Bogotá will not be excluded of this new project preparation.

4. Energy Efficiency Program (EEP)

- Proposed changes in Sustainable Urban Transport and inclusion of NCREP as new priority requires funding reallocation.
- For this purpose, it is proposed to reallocate US\$11 million from EEP to the above programs.
- GoC's considers that this will not affect the EEP's general expected results associated to the the original IP allocation, as the financed activities will have a similar potential to demonstrate and exemplify how to overcome the institutional, financial, knowledge and regulatory barriers to promote energy efficiency investments.

Proposed changes: reduce in US\$ 11 million the EEP and reallocate to remaining priority

Origina Investment Plan

Circumstances and Rationale for IP Revision

Proposed Changes

Proposed Changes

Proposed Reallocation of CTF Resources. April 2013 Revision (US\$ million)

| | CTF Funding | CTF F | CTF Funding | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| CTF Program | (CTF Plan Endorsed March 2010) | IDB | IBRD | IFC | (Revised CTF IP, April 2013) |
| Colombia Sustainable Urban Transport System | 100 | | (+) 1 | | 101 |
| Energy Efficiency | 50 | (-) 0.24 | | (-) 10.76 | 39 |
| Non-conventional Renewable energy Program | 0 | (+) 10 | | | 10 |
| Total | 150 | (+) 9.76 | (+) 1 | (-) 10.76 | 150 |

Adjusted Indicative Financing Plan (US\$ million)

| Financing Source | Sustainable Urban Transport | Energy Efficiency | Non- Conventional Renewable Energy | TOTAL | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------|---|
| CTF executed by IDB | 60.0 | 32.26 | 10.0 | 102.26 | |
| CTF executed by IBRD | 41.0 | | | 41.0 | |
| CTF executed by IFC | | 6.74 | | 6.74 | |
| CTF total | 101.0 | 39.0 | 10.0 | 150.0 | |
| IDB loans | 300.0 | 10.00 | 10.0 | 320.0 | * |
| IBRD loans | 100.0 | | | 100.0 | * |
| IFC loans | | 24.7 | | 24.7 | |
| IDB grants | 5.8 | | | 5.8 | |
| IBRD grants | | | | | |
| KfW | | | | | |
| Carbon finance | 30.0 | | | 30.0 | |
| Other | | | | | |
| GoC | | | | | |
| Bogotá DC | | | | | |
| Municipalities | 100.0 | | | 100.0 | |
| Private sector | 425.30 | 73.50 | 24.0 | 522.80 | |
| TOTAL | 1,062.10 | 147.20 | 44.0 | 1,253.30 | |

Origina Investment Plan

Circumstances and Rationale for IP Revision

Proposed Changes

Adjusted Result Indicators- SUTP

Expected result indicators of the Original and the Revised CTF IPs

| | Original CTF Investm | Revised CTF Investment Plan for Colombia (April 2013) | |
|--|----------------------|---|---|
| Indicators | Baseline | Investment Program Results | Investment Program Results ¹ |
| | | | Bogotá's SITP fully implemented targeting a population of 7 million. |
| Implementation of integrated public transit systems | 3 SITMs implemented | | One or two SITPs fully implemented in Colombia's largest cities targeting a population of 1.5 million ⁽³⁾ |
| | | - | SETPs implemented in seven cities, targeting a population of 2.4 million |
| Annual GHG emissions from the transport sector in target areas | 21.8 Mt CO2 per year | Annual emission at 19.0 Mt CO ₂ per year, reflecting a 2.8 Mt CO ₂ e reduction per year. Cumulative (avoided) reductions of 56 Mt CO ₂ e by 2030 (112 Mt CO ₂ e by 2050) Bogotá's SITP annual emission | Annual emission at 20.6 Mt CO ₂ per year, reflecting a 1.2 Mt CO ₂ e reduction per year. Cumulative (avoided) reductions of 23.4 Mt CO ₂ e by 2030 (46.8 Mt CO ₂ e by 2050) Bogotá's SITP annual emission |
| transport sector in target areas | | reductions of 2.0 Mt CO ₂ e | reductions of 0.4Mt CO ₂ e One or two SITPs (out of seven SITPs) annual emission reductions: One SITP of 0.06 Mt CO ₂ e or Two SITP of 0.08 Mt CO ₂ e ⁽⁴⁾ |

Adjusted Result Indicators- SUTP

Expected result indicators of the Original and the Revised CTF IPs

| | Original CTF Investment Plan for Colombia (March 2010) | | Revised CTF Investment Plan for Colombia (April 2013) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Indicators | Baseline | Investment Program Results | Investment Program Results ¹ |
| | | Seven SETPs annual emission reductions of 0.3 Mt CO ₂ e | Seven SETPs annual emission reductions of 0.1 Mt CO ₂ e |
| Annual GHG emissions from the transport sector in target areas | | Initial implementation of low-carbon bus technology in the SITP and SETPs contributing to additional reductions of 0.2 to 0.5 Mt CO ₂ per year | Initial implementation of low-carbon bus technology in the SITP and SETPs contributing to additional reductions of 0.2 Mt CO ₂ per year |
| | | Additional reduction of 1.5 Mt CO ₂ e per year is expected from replication and scale-up in SITMs (seven cities) and SETPs (12 cities) | Additional reduction of 0.39 Mt CO ₂ e per year is expected from replication and scale-up in SITMs (four or five remaining cities) |

Adjusted Result Indicators- SUTP

Expected result indicators of the Original and the Revised CTF IPs

| | U | vestment Plan for Colombia March 2010) | Revised CTF Investment Plan for Colombia (April 2013) |
|---|---|--|---|
| Indicators | Baseline | Investment Program Results | Investment Program Results ¹ |
| carbon bus technologies | Standard diesel buses | initial implementation of an advanced hybrid fleet, or other | Bogotá's SITP and SETPs start initial implementation of an advanced hybrid fleet, or other low-carbon bus technologies |
| Modal shift from private vehicles to public transit systems | Increased ownership and use of private vehicles | | Modal share of public transport grows or remains stable |

Adjusted Result Indicators- EEP

Expected result indicators of the Original and the Revised CTF IPs

| Indicators | Baseline | Original CTF IP (March 2010) | Revised CTF IP (April 2013) |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| | | Program Results | Program Results |
| National electricity consumption | 117,000 GWh per year (2030) | 112,000 GWh per year (2030) | 115,916,6 GWh per year (2030) |
| CTF Cost-effectiveness (CTF US\$/t CO2e reduction over 20 years) | n/a* | | 7,96 |
| GHG emissions from electricity generation | 36 Mton CO ₂ e per year (2030) | 34.4 Mton CO2e per year (2030) | 35.76 Mton CO ₂ e per year (2030) |

*Abbreviation for not applicable

Result Indicators- NCREP

Expected result indicators of the Original and the Revised CTF IPs

| Indicator | Baseline | CTF NCRE Project Results | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|-------|
| Co-financing of CTF funding (US\$ million) | | 0 | 10 |
| Leveraged co-financing (\$US million) | | n/a | 34 |
| RE installed capacity (MW) | | 0 | 20 |
| GHG emission reductions (MtCO ₂ e/year) | | 0 | 0,019 |
| GHG emissions savings (Mt/CO2e) over 20 years (2 | n/a | 0,38 | |
| CTF Cost-effectiveness (CTF US\$/t CO2e reduction | n/a | 26 | |
| | Wind powered plants (MtCO2e/year) | n/a | 0,32 |
| | Wind powered plants (MtCO2e over 20years (2030)) | n/a | 7,74 |
| Additional possible potential GHGs reduction by substituting a 300MW coal thermal plant: | RE/wind installed capacity (MW) | n/a | 413 |
| | Geothermal powered (MtCO2e/year) | n/a | 0,32 |
| | Geothermal powered plants (MtCO2e over 20 years (2030)) | n/a | 6,16 |

*Abbreviation for not applicable

Summary Adjusted Result Indicators

| Summary Result Indicators | Target Value (Original CTF IP) | Target Value (Revised CTF IP) |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Co-financing of CTF funding (US\$ million) | 150 | 150 |
| Leveraged co-financing (\$US million) | 2.879,8 | 1.103,3 |
| GHG emissions savings (Mt/CO ₂ e)/year) | 77 | 36,42 |
| CTF Cost Effectiveness (CTF US\$/tCO ₂ e reduction over 20 years) | 1,95 | 4,1 |

Recommendations For TFC - CTF

The GoC recommends the TFC adopting the proposed changes for the following reason among the already presented arguments:

- 1. Sustainable Urban Transport Program: proposed changes are expected to amplify potential reduction results as new targets will be met with the new US\$40 million IBRD project to be designed.
- 2. Energy Efficiency Program: continued support to the EEP is requested as expectations are high for project implementation meaning a strong demonstrative capacity potential has been achieved, possible only with CTF funding and GoC's support.
- 3. Non- conventional renewable energy program: the best window of opportunity in recent years is at hand and project implementation con strongly support NCRES inclusion as a real alternative for future energy generation in Colombia.

Thanks

COLOMBIA INVESTMENT PLAN REVISION MAY 2013





República de Colombia

