Sent by Keisuke Sasaki, Development Institutions Division Ministry of Finance, Japan, 4/07/2009

---- Forwarded by CIF Admin Unit/Service/World Bank on 04/09/2009 11:42 AM -----

佐々木啓介 <keisuke.sasaki@mof.go.j p></keisuke.sasaki@mof.go.j 	То	"'cifadminunit@worldbank.org'" <cifadminunit@worldbank.org></cifadminunit@worldbank.org>
04/07/2009 07:13 AM	СС	"Pblissguest@worldbank.org" <pblissguest@worldbank.org>, "gdieterle@worldbank.org" <gdieterle@worldbank.org>, "pverkooijen@worldbank.org" <pverkooijen@worldbank.org>, 高見博 <hiroshi.takami@mof.go.jp>, 安樂圭子 <keiko.anraku@mof.go.jp>, "tatsuya_watanabe@nm.maff.go.jp" <tatsuya_watanabe@nm.maff.go.jp></tatsuya_watanabe@nm.maff.go.jp></keiko.anraku@mof.go.jp></hiroshi.takami@mof.go.jp></pverkooijen@worldbank.org></gdieterle@worldbank.org></pblissguest@worldbank.org>
	Subj	RE: Summary of the Co-Chairs - 2nd Design Meeting on the

ect FIP - March 5-6, 2009

To the CIF Administrative Unit

With respect to the draft co-chair summary of FIP Second Design Meeting, I would like to send Japan's comments as attached. I hope that these observations contribute to the further discussion on the FIP design.

Best regards,

Keisuke Sasaki

-----Original Message-----From: cifadminunit@worldbank.org [mailto:cifadminunit@worldbank.org] Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2009 6:44 AM To: SCF_TFC@worldbank.org; SCF-TFC_CC@worldbank.org Cc: Pblissguest@worldbank.org; gdieterle@worldbank.org; pverkooijen@worldbank.org; CIF-RDB_List@worldbank.org Subject: Fw: Summary of the Co-Chairs - 2nd Design Meeting on the FIP - March 5-6, 2009

Dear SCF Trust Fund Committee Member,

Further to the e-mail below, at the request of a number of participants in the FIP design process, we would like to extend the deadline for comments on the Draft Design Document for the Forest Investment Program, a Targeted Program under the Strategic Climate Fund, (annexed to the Co-Chairs' Summary) and the information papers that were before the second design meeting, to Monday, April 6, 2009.

We look forward to receiving your feedback. Sincerely, Patricia A. Bliss-Guest Program Manager, Administrative Unit Climate Investment Funds 1818 H Street NW Washington, D.C. 20433 www.worldbank.org/cif (sent by Perpetual Boateng) ----- Forwarded by Patricia A. Bliss-Guest/Person/World Bank on 03/20/2009 05:24 PM -----CIF Admin Unit 03/16/2009 06:45 PM TO SCF TFC, SCF-TFC CC CC Patricia A. Bliss-Guest, Gerhard Dieterle, Patrick Vincent Verkooijen, CIF-RDB List Subject Summary of the Co-Chairs - 2nd Design Meeting on the FIP - March 5-6, 2009

Dear SCF Trust Fund Committee Member,

At the last meeting of the SCF Trust Fund Committee, you reviewed a document regarding the development of new targeted programs under the SCF, including the development of the Forest Investment Program (FIP). Based on the Committee's review, it was agreed that the following steps should be undertaken to complete the design of the FIP:

a) the Second Design Meeting for the FIP should be convened in Washington, on March 5-6.

b) the document agreed at the Second Design Meeting should be circulated for review and written comments. The design document should then be revised, on the basis of the comments received, and disseminated in advance of the Third Design Meeting to be convened in Washington on May 7-8, 2009.

c) the final design document should be submitted to the SCF Trust Fund Committee for its review and approval at its meeting to be held during the week of May 11, 2009.

The Second Design Meeting on the FIP was convened in Washington on March 5-6. Please find enclosed a copy of the Co-Chair's Summary of the meeting. The summary includes, as an annex, the draft design document for the FIP that was agreed by the meeting. This document is also posted on our website www.worldbank.org/cif.

In order to advance our work, we would like to invite you to submit to us by March 30, 2009, any comments that you may have on the proposed text. We would appreciate it if your comments would be focused on specific drafting amendments/additions/deletions.

Taking into account the comments received, the Administrative Unit will

prepare, for consideration at the final design meeting, a revised document intended to promote a final consensus.

We look forward to receiving any comments that you may wish to submit.

Sincerely,

Patricia A. Bliss-Guest Program Manager, Administrative Unit Climate Investment Funds

(sent by Perpetual Boateng)

Japan's comments on draft FIP design document

Japan would like to offer four general comments as below, and also, several more detailed comments as attached. Japan hopes these observations will contribute to the further discussion on the FIP design.

1. Importance of cooperation among agencies

Japan is very positive to support the principles of national ownership and national strategies written in the document. It is critically important that the FIP programs will build on existing national efforts to reduce emissions related to forests, in particular deforestation and forest degradation. In the same context, Japan would like to emphasize that FIP should take well into account and also cooperate with existing activities by other donors, including bilateral agencies, which are working in coordination with the national strategies on forest.

2. Indigenous peoples and local communities dedicated initiative

Japan welcomes the idea that indigenous peoples and local communities should be involved effectively in the design and implementation of pilot country programs. Hence, it must become one of the essential parts in each FIP pilot country program. In this respect, Japan expects that the dedicated initiative be harmonized with national strategies.

3. Inclusive processes and governance

It is absolutely necessary to pursue inclusiveness in the operation of FIP in general. And information sharing to broad stakeholders should be sought to a large extent possible. Nevertheless, it should not be ignored that some processes in the FIP deal with quite sensitive issues. For instance, Japan would like to recall the fact that in the selection process of pilot countries for PPCR, the information was treated as strictly confidential. Also, in the case of CTF, executive sessions are held as closed session when the TFC discusses individual investments plans. Bearing in mind these examples, Japan thinks that FIP processes and governance should be refined, duly considering the sensitive aspects of some processes.

4. Composition of the FIP sub-committee

Japan is concerned that discussion still remains on membership of the FIP sub-committee such as number and status of representatives of indigenous peoples, NGOs and the private sector, and would like to request the secretariat to reconsider this issue in line with efficient and constructive management of SC meeting.

	Design Mee o the Anne	x of Co-Chair's Summary (dated March 11 2009)	Attachm
paragraph	line	description	comments	proposal
8	2-3	to support sustainable and poverty reducion.	It seems to be remnants of language consideration.	For example, after "sustainable" insert "development", as a result, to support sustainable development and poverty reducion.
9	1–2	The FIP will draw upon IPCC and the IPCC Good Practice Guidance for agreed definitions and terms related to forests and climate change	In general, IPCC does not define terms. Since the IPCC has published two definite "Good Practice Gudidance" in 2000 and 2003, the guidance indicated in the text is not clear. Rewording should be considered.	Rewording should be considered, t exapmle, as follows. The FIP will draw upon IPCC and IPCC Good Practice Guidance for agreed definitions and u seage of terms related to forests and clima change
11 (c)	all	To generate understanding and learning of the links between investments and outcomes	Japan supports this sub paragraph. It well captures the sincere interests of Japan and very likely many others.	Please retain the sub paragraph.
12 (c)	6-10	[Such participation requries to decision making.]	Japan is, to a certain extent, concerned about the sensitive aspects of some processes of FIP. The consultation process "in the country" and in FIP Sub-Committee, respectively, should be further considered.	This paragraph could be re- considered in parallel with paragra 16, 17, (thus 20,) 27, 28, 29 (, thus 30, 31, 32) and the chart in the annex. The keys of the consideration might be target material (type of documentation), timing and way of communicaiton.
12 (d)	all	Coordination with other REDD demonstration efforts.	Seems fine. Complimentarity of the three programes seems to be well defined.	Please retain the sub paragraph.
12 (e)	all	Measurable outcomes and results based supoort.	Seems fine. In particular, the phrase "other forest benefits" appears to be appropriate language here.	Please retain the sub paragraph.
12 (g)	all	Forest related governance.	It is hard to see the literal meaning of this sub-paragraph. The use of "support" in the second line and "the co-dependent relationship" in the third line probably make the sentence unclearer.	Please refer to the discussion in t second design meeting and make appropriate revision to the text.
12 (h)	all	Address drivers of deforestation and degradation and avoid perverse insentives.	Seems fine.	Please retain the sub paragraph.
12 (j)	HCVF	Safegurding High Conservation Value Forests.	Capitalised HCVF strongly implies the term defined by the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). The use of this finely defined term in this design stage appears to be premature and prejudging country choice and decision.	Small letters should be used. Safeguarding high conservation va forests. The FIP should safeguard high conservation value forests an
12 (I)	5	ΙΤΤΑ	The reference to the ITTA should be retained. The ITTA surely has a major role among relevant agreements and conventions.	
12 (I)	6	It should cooperate closely with other international agencies and	Bilateral agencies, as well as international agencies, are important actors related to forest and development issue.	For example, "other international/bilateral agencies and"
19	5	ітто	The reference to the ITTO should be retained. The ITTO surely has a major role among relevant organisations.	
26	5	including bilateral developoment agencies	Seems fine.	Please retain the sub paragraph.
27 and 28	brackets	[Proposed investment strategies] and [Proposed programs and projects will be made publicly available]	Japan are concerned about the sensitive aspects of some processes of FIP. The consultation process ″in the country″ and in FIP Sub-Committee, respectively, should be further considered.	
30-32	all	Indigenous peoples and local communities dedicated initiative		The initiave should be integrated the national strategies, programs projects.
Annex	chart	(not specified)	First step of the flow is missing. The second sentence in the paragraph 14 depicts critical step of the FIP process. Reitration of the step in the chart may help readers. "The number and extent of pilot programs will be proportional to the resources available, and can thus only be determined once there is a clear idea on the magnitude of contribution".	Above the top box "FIP-SC to ag upon number of pilot programs", one additional box could be place with text, for example, "the magnitude of contributions is four out".