

August 15, 2011

Comments from Norway on the Approval by mail: Procedures for the Preparation of Independent Technical Reviews of FIP Investment Plans

Colleagues:

Andreas is on holiday. So please indulge me regarding one question: It seems to me like the founding documents of the FIP (design document and follow up docs) are given a rather secondary role here to a long list of other (undoubtedly worthy) concerns. As you know, we remain concerned about diluting the FIP's focus – the design doc itself already is quite a compromise regarding the weighting between climate change mitigation and other concerns. It would seem to us that surely the main task of the reviews should be to evaluate whether the draft plans conform to the founding documents? Please enlighten me on your thinking on this – I will be only too happy to be notified that this is indeed your intent, or that I have misread the doc in some other way.

Thanks.
Pharo Per Fredrik Ilsaas
Ministry of Environment